Secrt. II-37—45,000—9-11-34.

Development (1937) Department.

Re SERIES.

G.O. No. 261

DATED the 3rd April 1937

[Despatch Abstract.]

Fisheries — Fish curing Yards
Hungarcutta — Overtime fees
Excess collection — Refund —
Sanctioned.

des. 1200-II
21-3-35

C.F. 30.

FISHERIES DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... 192 *Registered* 192

Subject.

Fish curing yards - Hungarcutta - Over-time fees - excess collection - refund of -

Tw

ENCLOSURES.
No .....
.....
Spare copies.....

Ref.No. 707 F/35-1

Station Madras *Dated the* 4th March 1935.

From (Name) Dr. B. Sundara Raj, M.A., Ph.D.,

(Designation) Director of Fisheries,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

DEVELOPMENT Department.

Thro' the Accountant General, Madras.

Sir,

The Assistant Director of Fisheries (Coast) reports that the petty yard officer of Hungarcutta fish curing yard collected over-time fees from the curers of the yard at the rate of 2 annas 6 pies per hour instead of at 2 annas per hour. A list of the curers with the excess amount collected from each is herewith enclosed. The excess amount of Rs.9/- collected by the Petty yard officer during November and December 1934, has to be refunded to the curers. The refund of this amount does not fall under any of the three cases detailed against item 11 at page 155 of the Madras Financial

and Account Code, Volume II. I have, therefore, the honour to request you to be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the refund of Rs.9/- to the curers concerned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A. Damodaran
for Director of Fisheries.
23.3.35.

k.v.

4

No. T.10. Ind. 1398. OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

Fort St. George, 19th March *192*35.

(T.10.Sec.)

Enclosures.

4 sheets

1. Forwarded.

2. As the sanction to the refund is merely formal in this case, it is suggested for consideration if the Director may not be delegated with the power in such cases.

N Ramaswamy Iyer

Deputy Accountant-General.

T.A.M.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Development Department.

Dft. Secy.

Cl. 1200 II/35. Subject - Fisheries - Fish Curing yards - Hungercutta - Over-time fees - Excess Collection - Refund of -

From the Dir of Fisheries, Rep No 707 II/35-1 dated 6-5-35.

Cmts of the Accts. Genl No T-1

1 p 4 Cl.

Ld. 13987/19.3.35.

A.S.

Please see the letter from the Director of Fisheries at pages 1-2 Cl. and the Cmts of the Accts. Genl thereon at p 4 Cl.

2. A strict observance of rule 68 of the Madras Fisheries Manual Vol II will prevent excessive over-time fees being collected from the Curers. The petty-yard officer of the Hungercutta fish curing yard who collected excess fees is probably a new man who was not conversant with the rule. The occasions on which excess fees are collected will be very rare. Necessary instructions to new men when they are placed in charge of yards to observe the above rule strictly will be sufficient. There seem to be no need to delegate powers to the Director of Fisheries as suggested by the Accts. Genl, in as

1 p 1-2 Cl.

1 p 4 Cl.

1 p 30 of the Fisheries Manual Vol II

which as the Director himself has now asked for such delegation. The request of the excess collection of Rs 9/1. of the Customs concerned in the Transjordan frontier carriage should may be sanctioned.

3. A draft order is submitted for approval. It may be sent to the Finance Secretariat for acceptance.

S.A. 25.3.35 bm 26/3

RC 26/3/35 S. 27/3

Finance

Dem no 1200-II/35-1
27.5.35

Finance (Accts)

The draft order may be accepted

KKS 31-3-35

M 31-3-35

CS 1/4/35

Dem. Sgt.

Dem. U.S.

It is submitted that the draft order may now issue

S.A. 2.4.35 bm 2/4

Issue 3/4/35 U.S.

Secretariat Registry 27 MAR 1935

Des. 1324-II
2-4-35

11139 Accts
27-3-15

Dem no 11139 Accts
2.4.35

Assistant Secretary 2- APR 1935

1

14/3/4

C.F. 16.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..		
When copied ..		
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT:— Dir. Dept.

Fisheries - Fish curing yards - Hungarcutta - over-time fees - Excess collection - Refund - Sanctioned

READ AGAIN _____, dated _____ 19____

" " _____ " _____ 19____

READ *ALSO*:—

No. 12W II/35 From the Dir of Fisheries, Dept No. 707 I/35-1 dated 6.3. 1935

" _____ " Govt of the Accts. Genl. T. (G.O. No. 1398 F) dtd. 3.3.

" _____ " _____ " _____ 19____

Order ~~Resolution~~ Ms. No. 561 dated 3. 4. 1935

Dept. 8/4/35

Sanctioned
5/4/35

To

The Dir of Fisheries (with a Cr. Sanction slip and reply)
Accts. Genl through the Finance Dept.
~~Finance Dept. (with~~ ~~correspondence)~~

I do not think we need put up

The Govt Sanction the refund to the Cusers concerned in the Hungarcutta fish curing yard made by the excess collection of over-time fees amounting to Rs 91 (rupees nine only) during the months of November and December 1934

2. The Director is requested to issue necessary instructions to the officers in charge of fish curing yards in regard to the strict observance of Rule 68 of the Madras Fisheries Manual Vol II, especially when new men are appointed.

25.3.35 26.3 26.3.35 27/3